

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Dex 1470 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspb.gov

			•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/789,924	02/27/2004	Craig S. Kickel	303.851US1	8884		
21186	7590 07/03/2006		EXAMINER			
	MAN, LUNDBERG, WO	LUDWIG, MATTHEW J				
P.O. BOX 2 MINNEAP	2938 OLIS, MN 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		2178			
				DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/789,9	)24	KICKEL, CRAIG	KICKEL, CRAIG S.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit					
			J. Ludwig	2178					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no en nication. Itory period will apply and w III, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICA vent, however, may a replivill expire SIX (6) MONTH plication to become ABAN	ATION, y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this of IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 February 20	004.						
·									
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accepted or b	)□ objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
ĺ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	, ,								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O 048)	4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/f	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date			rmal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/789,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the application filed February 27, 2004.

2. Claims 1-56 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 19, 20, 22, 28, 34, 50, 51, and 53, are independent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 22-27 are drawn to functional descriptive material NOT claimed as residing on a computer readable medium. MPEP 2106.IV.B.1(a). In reference to claims 22-27, while defining a system to translate metadata from a database, the system does not define a "computer-readable medium" and is thus non-statutory for that reason. A system can range from paper on which the program is written to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" in order to make the claim statutory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,924 Page 3

Art Unit: 2178

6. Claims 1-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tijare et al.,

USPN 6,950,815 filed (4/23/02).

In reference to independent claim 1, Tijare teaches:

The disclosed content management system has many advantages in terms of simplifying the user's task of writing queries. Viewing the CM system metadata as an XML document abstracts out the physical mapping of CM data model to relational database tables (compare to "reading metadata for a database"). See column 12, lines 1-15.

The CM data model set forth in the representations of Item Type Journal, Item Type Book, Item Type Conference, can be viewed as an XML document "LS.xml" with the following schema by applying the above described mapping rules (compare to "translating the metadata into a page definition language"). See column 6, lines 15-24.

The content manager system metadata, namely both system and user metadata are viewed as a single hierarchically structured document, such as an XML document (compare to "outputting the page definition language"). See column 4, lines 40-57.

In reference to dependent claim 2, Tijare teaches:

A tree based hierarchy of an Item Type, Journal, which is formed from multiple related tables. Journal is the root component and includes the following attributes: Title, Organization, Classification, Year, Publisher Name. See column 3, lines 57-67.

In reference to dependent claim 3, Tijare teaches:

Tree based hierarchy of an Item Type, Book, which is formed from multiple related tables. Book is the root component and includes the following attributes: Title and Year. See column 4, lines 13-29.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,924 Page 4

Art Unit: 2178

### In reference to dependent claim 4, Tijare teaches:

In implementation, an Item Type is composed of multiple relational or object-relational database tables, each representing a component of the Item Type. An Item is composed of one row from the database table representing the root component and zero or more rows from each of the database tables. See column 3, lines 1-24.

## In reference to dependent claim 5, Tijare teaches:

For some queries searching on user metadata, it is first necessary to query the system metadata, thus requiring multiple SQL statements The disclosed CM system with its query processor advantageously insulated the client user from these high levels of query complexity. See column 3, lines 40-59.

## In reference to dependent claim 6, Tijare teaches:

The disclosed content management system can be stored on virtually any computer-readable storage media, in either compressed or non-compressed form. See column 12, lines 45-57.

### In reference to dependent claim 7, Tijare teaches:

To search on properties of an item, users would potentially need to either write very complex SQL query involving many complex join and/or union operations or make many complex API calls. See column 3, lines 25-44.

## In reference to dependent claim 8 and 9, Tijare teaches:

The path expression in Xquery allow a high level expression of parent-child and ancestor-descendent relationships between components of an Item. It is also possible to write a

Art Unit: 2178

single query for both data and metadata using the wild-card notation and descendent axis notation. See column 12, lines 5-30.

### In reference to dependent claim 10, Tijare teaches:

The SourceItem Ref is a reference to the Item where the link originates. In the CM data model, links are independent of versions. See column 6, lines 1-10.

## In reference to dependent claim 11 and 12, Tijare teaches:

The CM data model set forth in the representations of Item Type Journal, Item Type Book, Item Type Conference, can be viewed as an XML document "LS.xml" with the following schema by applying the above described mapping rules. See column 6, lines 15-29.

## In reference to dependent claim 13 and 14, Tijare teaches:

The disclosed content management system has many advantages in terms of simplifying the user's task of writing queries. Viewing the CM system metadata as an XML document abstracts out the physical mapping of CM data model to relational database tables. See column 12, lines 1-14.

## In reference to dependent claim 15-18, Tijare teaches:

Content management system includes a hierarchical data structure, repeating groups, link relationships and reference attributes. One goal of CM system is to abstract out the application data model and provide a high level query language for which it is both easy for client users to write queries and yet which can be efficiently executed by the system in a programming language. See column 3, lines 45-56.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,924 Page 6

Art Unit: 2178

In reference to claims 19-33, the claims recite a system comprising computer executable instructions for carrying out the similar methods claimed in 1-18. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

In reference to claims 34-56, the claims recite a computer readable medium having computer executable instructions for carrying out similar methods to those claimed in 1-18. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lee et al., USPN 6,466,970 filed (1/27/99)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Ludwig whose telephone number is 571-272-4127. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,924

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ML

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7